1	Kevin G. Little, SBN 149818 Michelle L. Tostenrude, SBN 290121 Law Office of Vevin C. Little			
2	Law Office of Kevin G. Little Post Office Box 8656			
3	Fresno, California 93747 Telephone: (559) 342-5800			
•	Facsimile: (559) 242-2400 E-Mail: kevin@kevinglittle.com			
5 6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Martha Zepeda Olivares, individually and on behalf of the Estate of Maximiliano Sosa, Jr. and Maximiliano Sosa, Sr.			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
8				
9				
10	MARTHA ZEPEDA OLIVARES, individually	Case No.		
11	and on behalf of the ESTATE OF			
12	MAXIMILIANO SOSA, JR.; MAXIMILIANO SOSA, SR.,	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND		
13	Dlaintiffa	WRONGFUL DEATH; CALIFORNIA CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE § 377.32		
	Plaintiffs,	DECLARATION		
14 15	V.	42 U.S.C. § 1983 Fourth Amendment		
	CITY OF FRESNO; UNKNOWN LAW	Substantive Due Process Municipal Liability		
17	ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS, and DOES 1-30	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED		
	Defendants.	JUNI IRIAL DEMIANDED		
18	2010110111101			
19				
20	TO THE HONORABLE COURT:			
21	Plaintiffs Martha Zepeda Olivares, individu	ually and on behalf of the Estate of		
22	Maximiliano Sosa, Jr., and Maximiliano Sosa, Sr., through their undersigned counsel, hereby			
23	make the following allegations against the defendants, and each of them:			
24	JURISDICTION AND VENUE			
25	This Court has original jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331,			
26	1343, as it presents federal civil rights claims against state actor defendants and a municipal			
27				
28	2. This Court has venue over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2), as all of the			
	COMPLAINT			
	-1-			

underlying actions took place in this judicial district. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that venue is also proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1).

**PARTIES** 

- 3. Plaintiff Martha Zepeda Olivares (hereinafter "Martha") is an adult resident of the County of Fresno. Zepeda is the mother of Maximiliano Sosa, Jr., (hereinafter "Max"), who died on November 4, 2023.
- 4. Martha also is the successor in interest and legal representative of the Estate of Maximiliano Sosa, Jr.. Martha is Max's mother. Attached as Exhibit A is the declaration required by California Code of Civil Procedure §377.32. Max's death certificate is pending and will be submitted as soon as it becomes available.
- 11 5. Plaintiff Maximiliano Sosa, Sr. (hereinafter "Max, Sr.") is an adult resident of the County of Fresno. Max, Sr. is Max's father.
- Max died on November 4, 2023, at the age of 33 years old. Max was a resident of 6. 13 Fresno County. Max was legally separated under the meaning of California Family Code § 14 70, as he and his estranged spouse, Maria Sosa, had been living apart since February 2023 and 15 had both verbally and through their conduct expressed an intention not to reconcile but instead 16 to end their marriage. Moreover, because Max and Maria Sosa were legally separated at the 17 time of this incident and also were living apart, she has no interest in this action pursuant to 18 California Family Code § 781. Therefore, Max's only successors in interest as defined under 19 California Code of Civil Procedure §§ 377.11, and 377.30-377.32 are his surviving parents, 20 Martha and Max, Sr., and his two sons, ages 4 and 2 years old. Given their young age, Max's 21
- surviving children are obviously not eligible to represent his interests in this proceeding. A guardian ad litem petition will be filed with the Court, after which time it is anticipated that Max's children will be added as plaintiffs.
  - 7. Defendant City of Fresno is and at all times mentioned herein a municipal corporation, duly authorized to operate under the laws of the State of California. Under its supervision, the City of Fresno operates the Fresno Police Department (hereinafter "FPD"). City of Fresno is primarily responsible for funding and supervising the Fresno Police Department, which is the

25

26

27

3

1	law enforcement agency with primary jurisdiction for investigating the alleged incident	
2	underlying this case.	
3	8. Plaintiffs are ignorant of the true names and/or capacities of defendants sued herein as	
4	Unknown Law Enforcement Officers, and therefore sue said defendants by such fictitious	
5	names. Plaintiffs will amend this complaint to allege these defendants' true names and	
6	capacities when ascertained. Plaintiffs believe and allege that each of these fictitious	
7	defendants is legally responsible and liable for the incident, injuries and damages hereinafter	
8	set forth.	
9	STATEMENT OF FACTS	
10	9. Max was gainfully employed as a diesel mechanic at the time of his death. Max had no	
11	criminal record, no mental health history, no drug use history, no history of alcohol abuse, and	

criminal record, no mental health history, no drug use history, no history of alcohol abuse, and no history of violence. Max had attended a few sessions of marriage counseling earlier in February and March 2023 with Maria Sosa, but not for the purpose of addressing any mental 13 health disorder.

Max had no firearm or other item on his person commonly considered a weapon at the 10. time of his death.

11. Although clearly troubled by the ending of his marriage, Max was leading a normal life, i.e., working and spending time with family and friends, in the months, weeks and days leading up to the incident that resulted in his death. Just hours before his death, Max had attended his nephew's high school football game and took this photo.

21

12

14

15

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

27

12. Available video footage shows that Max does not appear to be highly intoxicated or under the influence of drugs at the time of his death on November 4, 2023. He was driving

- 1 capably and walking normally. Indeed, Max helped a seemingly intoxicated Maria Sosa back
- 2 to her apartment at 2:15 a.m., just hours before his death. The apartment is within the
- 3 complex known as the Dante Apartments, 5555 N. Dante Avenue, Fresno, California 93722.
- 4 13. Max was summoned back to the apartment complex where Maria Sosa lived for reasons
- 5 that still remain unknown at approximately 3:10 a.m., after he had left only approximately 15
- 6 minutes earlier.
- 7 | 14. Available video footage shows that Max left Maria Sosa's apartment complex again at
- 8 | approximately 3:30 a.m. Max was still walking and behaving normally and did not appear to
- 9 be under the influence of anything.
- 10 15. Max returned to the apartment complex again approximately 15 minutes later, by which
- 11 time Unknown Law Enforcement Officers were on scene. Appearing to have no desire to
- 12 interact with law enforcement, Max left the apartment complex without exiting his vehicle.
- 13 16. Max returned to the apartment complex yet again at approximately 4:35 a.m. Max
- 14 parked right next to a Fresno Police vehicle and began walking normally towards Maria Sosa's
- apartment. Available video does not show Max with any weapons, and he does not appear to
- 16 be under the influence of anything.
- 17 17. No more than five minutes after Max returned to the apartment complex, he was shot
- 18 multiple times by law enforcement approximately 15-20 feet in front of Maria's apartment.
- 19 Plaintiffs are informed and believe that Max was unarmed at the time of the shooting and had
- 20 returned to the apartment complex not of his own accord but based on a request. Plaintiffs are
- 21 informed and believe there was nothing suggesting that Max intended to harm Maria Sosa or
- 22 any law enforcement personnel, or ever threatened to do so.
- 23 18. Despite the plethora of tactical options and strategic advantages possessed by the law
- 24 enforcement personnel on scene, deadly force was used, and in the absence of any apparent
- 25 justification. Unknown Law Enforcement Officers fired on Max when he was unarmed and
- 26 non-dangerous.
- 27 | 19. Max was the subject to attempts to preserve his life after the shooting, which endured
- 28 for several minutes before it was determined that his life could not be saved.

1	20. As a result of Max's death, his family, including Martha and Max, Sr. are devastated		
2	Max leaves behind two children he loved dearly, as well as many close family members an		
3	friends.		
4	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION		
5	Violation of Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution		
6	(42 U.S.C. §1983)		
7	(On Behalf of the Estate of Maximiliano Sosa, Jr. Against All Individual Defendants)		
8	21. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference the foregoing paragraphs of this		
9	complaint.		
10	22. The individual defendants, acting under color of law, committed the above-described		
11	conduct that violated Max's rights, as provided for under the Fourth Amendment to the Unite		
12	States Constitution, to be free from excessive and/or arbitrary and/or unreasonable use of		
13	deadly force against him.		
14	23. Max was forced to endure great conscious pain and suffering because of the		
15	Defendants' conduct before his death.		
16	24. Max did not file a legal action before his death.		
17	25. Max's estate, represented by Martha, is the successor in interest of Max's claims		
18	damages for the conscious pain and suffering incurred, as provided for under 42 U.S.C. §1983		
19	26. Defendants acted under color of law by shooting and killing Max without lawful		
20	justification and subjecting decedent to excessive force thereby depriving him of certain		
21	constitutional protected rights, including, but not limited to, the right to be free from		
22	unreasonable searches and seizures, as guaranteed by the Fourth Amendment to the United		
23	States Constitution.		
24	27. WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for relief as hereinafter set forth.		
25			
26			
27			
28			
	COMPLAINT		
	-5-		

1	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION		
2	Violations of Civil Rights to Familial Relationship		
3	(42 U.S.C. §1983)		
4	(On Behalf of Plaintiffs Martha Zepeda Olivares and Maximiliano Sosa, Sr.		
5	and Against All Individual Defendants)		
6	28. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference the foregoing paragraphs of this		
7	complaint.		
8	29. The individual defendants, acting under color of law, and without due process of law		
9	deprived Plaintiffs of their substantive due process rights to continued familial relation		
10	without government interference.		
11	30. The individual defendants specifically committed this misconduct by using		
12	unreasonable, unjustified and excessive deadly force against Max, which caused injurie		
13	resulting in his death, as alleged hereinabove.		
14	31. These individual defendants also attempted to conceal their misconduct and hide the		
15	true cause of Max's demise to deprive Plaintiffs of their rights to seek redress in violation of		
16	their rights, privileges, and immunities secured by the Fourteenth Amendment to the Unite		
17	States Constitution.		
18	32. The individual defendants' misconduct in these respects was the proximate cause of		
19	injury to Plaintiffs.		
20	33. WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for relief as hereinafter set forth.		
21	THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION		
22	Municipal Liability		
23	(42 U.S.C. §1983)		
24	(On Behalf of All Plaintiffs Against Defendant City of Fresno)		
25	34. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference the foregoing paragraphs of this		
26	complaint.		
27	35. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that City of Fresno's offending		
28	customs, policies, and/or practices were the moving force behind the constitutional violation		

1	set forth in the foregoing causes of action.		
2	36. Plaintiffs are specifically informed and believe that City of Fresno, through the Fresn		
3	Police Department, has failed to train, investigate, discipline, or supervise its officer		
4	members, most of whom have been involved in repeated unreasonable uses of deadly		
5	injurious force. Notwithstanding any formal written policies that ostensibly exist, officers a		
6	permitted as a practical matter to use deadly force with impunity, with no meaningful scrutin		
7	consequences or changes made in how the FPD responds to incidents. Plaintiffs are also		
8	informed and believe that these illicit customs, policies and/or practices have been condone		
9	and ratified by those in final decision making positions. Plaintiffs anticipate supplementing		
10	the bases for municipal liability as discovery proceeds.		
11	37. WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for relief as hereinafter set forth.		
12	2 <u>PRAYER</u>		
13	WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs prays for relief, as follows:		
14	1. For general damages in a sum to be determined at trial;		
15	2. For special damages, including but not limited to past, present, and/or future		
16	wage loss, income and support, medical expenses and other special damages in a sum to be		
17	determined according to proof;		
18	3. For funeral and burial expenses according to proof;		
19	4. For punitive damages and exemplary damages in amounts to be determined		
20	according to proof as to the individual Defendants and each of them;		
21	5. Any and all permissible statutory damages;		
22	6. For reasonable attorney's fee pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1988 and U.S.C. §794A;		
23	7. For cost of suit herein incurred.		
24	8. For prejudgment interest.		
25	9. For such other relief as the Court may deem proper.		
26	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL		
27	Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury, consistent with their rights under the Seventh		
28	Amendment and other applicable law.		
	COMPLAINT		
	-7-		

1		
2	Dated: November 7, 2023	/s/ Kevin G. Little Kevin G. Little
3		Attorneys for Plaintiffs Martha Zepeda Olivares, individually and on behalf of the Estate of
4		Maximiliano Sosa, Jr. and Maximiliano Sosa, Sr.
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>		
28		
	COMPLAINT	-8-

## 1 **CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 377.32** DECLARATION OF MARTHA ZEPEDA OLIVARES 2 3 The undersigned, Martha Zepeda Olivares, hereby declares as follows under penalty of 4 perjury: 5 1. The decedent's true name was Maximiliano Sosa, Jr. and he was my son. 6 2. The decedent died on November 4, 2023 in Fresno County, California. I am 7 informed and believe his death investigation remains pending and no death certificate is currently available. No proceeding is now pending in California for administration of the decedent's 3. 10 estate. 11 I am one of the decedent's surviving parents, heir and his successor in interest 4. 12 (as defined in Section 377.11 of the California Code of Civil Procedure) and succeed to the decedent's interest in this action or proceeding. 5. I am authorized to act on behalf of the decedent with respect to the decedent's 15 interest in the action or proceeding. No other person has a superior right to commence this proceeding for the 6. 17 decedent. 7. If called to testify as to the foregoing, I could truthfully and competently testify 19 as to the above stated facts. Sworn under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America, this 7<sup>th</sup> 21 day of November, 2023. 23 Martha Zepeda Olivares 24 25 26 27 28